IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL
Writ Petition No. 1037 of 2003 (S/S)
(Old No. 1470/1992)

Umed Singh, S/o Shri Prahlad Singh
R/o Roorkee. ……..Petitioner

Versus

Rajyapal/Chancellor, Roorkee University
Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow. & others……..Respondents.

None present for the petitioner.
Shri Sanju Bhatt, holding brief of Shri Sudhanshu Dhulia, learned counsel for the respondents.

Hon'ble Prafulla C. Pant, J

None present for the petitioner.

2. The petitioner, who was a contractual worker, on his termination of contract, has sought continuance in service and also regularization therein.

3. In view of the principle of law laid down in ***Secretary, State of Karnataka Vs. Uma Devi, reported in (2006) 4 Supreme Court Cases, Pg. 01,*** wherein it has been held that the workers on daily wages or the persons who get employed without undergoing regular selection process, should not be directed to be absorbed or regularised against the constitutional scheme of public employment, this Court is not inclined to issue direction to regularize services of the petitioner, as such, the petition is liable to be dismissed.

4. The writ petition is dismissed. Interim order, if any, stands vacated. (All pending applications also stand disposed of).

Dt. 08.12.2006 **(Prafulla C. Pant, J.)**
S